

Narrative Annexation and Contained Expertise: Authority, Voice, and Representation in Contemporary Media

Md. Maruf Hossan

Lecturer, Department of English, Bangladesh Army University of Science and Technology (BAUST), Saidpur, Bangladesh
maruf@baust.edu.bd

Riyad Md. Arefin Sohan

Lecturer, Department of English, City University, Birulia, Bangladesh
riyadsohan27@gmail.com

Md. Eshan Shahriar

Lecturer, Department of English, Bangladesh Army University of Science and Technology (BAUST), Saidpur, Bangladesh
eshanshabriarroop@gmail.com

Abstract

In contemporary media, marginalized voices, localized knowledge and non-dominant viewpoints are foregrounded most of the time and representational inclusion often bears testimony to moral progress and political conversion. This study claims that visibility is not an ideal parameter for judging power dynamics as inclusion often happens without redistributing interpretive authority. Depending upon postcolonial theory, cultural studies, and narrative analysis, it presents narrative annexation as a structural process through which peripheral or marginalized expertise is inserted into dominant narrative structures through steps increasing authenticity, credibility, and moral fairness while retaining narrative sovereignty. Using a qualitative, theory-driven cross-media method, the analysis shows recurrent patterns all across political cinemas, prestige televisions, environmental documentaries, Japanese anime, global franchise animation, and Western animation. Across these sites, the “subaltern expert” is positioned as an essential source of knowledge, experience or moral insight but routinely excluded from narrative synthesis, strategic decision making and interpretive closure. By shifting analytical focus from representational presence to narrative authority, this study showcases a transferable framework to judge power in contemporary media beyond surface-level diversity and show how domination can exist by applying regulated recognition rather than open exclusion.

Keywords: Narrative Annexation; Narrative Authority; Representation; Postcolonial Theory; Expertise; Media Studies; Globalization

INTRODUCTION

Representational inclusion has proved to be a dominant normative value within global media cultures over the past several decades. Diversity initiatives, inclusive casting, and the foregrounding of historically marginalized perspectives are often termed as evidence that media industries are rectifying earlier exclusions. From geopolitical thrillers relying on local informants to

prestige television foregrounding racial and classed communities and from transnational franchises including gendered and racial expertise to documentaries heightening indigenous testimony, contemporary media often terms inclusion as both moral achievement and political development.

It is postcolonial and cultural theorists' long claim that representation does not function by casting aside power. Visibility is not the guarantee of the inclusion of authority and voice does not convert into power by default. Indeed, inclusion may act as a device through which power adjusts to criticism by incorporating difference in regulated forms. Under such conditions, representational presence can be seen as coexistent with and even strengthen dominant interpretive structures.

This study intervenes in this debate by shifting analytical focus away from representational presence and toward narrative authority that refers to the capacity to devise meaning, fix relevance, organize causality, and handle interpretive closure. The concept of narrative annexation is introduced to depict a recurrent structural pattern through which marginalized or peripheral expertise is incorporated into dominant narratives in manners boosting authenticity and credibility while keeping interpretive sovereignty at the central point.

This study avoids offering painstaking readings of individual works. Rather it presents a transferable analytical structure formulated to promote recurrent narrative mechanisms across heterogeneous media forms. Contemporary media's failure to represent marginalized voices is not the main issue. Rather its preservation of their authority through recognizing expertise while keeping back narrative control is the central issue.

Why These Media? Skill, Credibility, and Power in Popular Narrative Forms

The selection of forms like political cinema, prestige television, documentary, anime, global franchise media, and Western animation proves to be deliberate rather than unintentional. These forms consist of major sites through which modern audiences encounter narratives of authority, wisdom and fairness. Furthermore, they are genres often claiming realism, credibility, or moral seriousness even when operating within the realm of entertainment.

A narrative figure appears recurrently across these media. The narrative figure, a character, has localized, experiential, or marginalized knowledge, yet does not control narrative synthesis. This figure may or may not emerge as a local informant in geopolitical cinema, a street-level truth-teller in prestige television, an indigenous witness in documentary, or a gendered advisor in transnational animation. However, its functions remain similar structurally. The fact is that expertise is solicited and displayed but interpretive and strategic authority remains centralized. Assessing these media in combination advocates the argument that narrative annexation is not a genre-specific matter but a

far-reaching narrative logic highly connected with globalized media circulation and contemporary representational politics.

THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

Subalternity and the Problem of Recognized Speech

Postcolonial theory is a gateway to understanding why speech and presence are not equal to authority. The question “Can the subaltern speak?” by Spivak does not imply that marginalized subjects are voiceless. It unveils the structural conditions barring their speech from being recognized as authoritative in the realm of dominant epistemic systems. The appearance of subaltern voices may happen but remain mediated. It may translate into clear forms promoting hegemonic meaning.

This standpoint remains significant in contemporary media where marginalized figures often have voices but seldom influence narrative outcomes. The question is not whether they speak or not, but how their speech is termed, who interprets it, and where narrative sovereignty eventually lies in.

Narrative Authority, Power/Knowledge, and Interpretive Closure

Cultural studies promotes that representation is not merely descriptive but constitutes power relations. Narratives do not merely depict authority. They also produce and systematize it through hierarchy, centralization, pacing, and closure. Foucault’s (1980) account of power/knowledge further shows that authority operates with the help of regimes defining what is termed as truth and who is authorized to express it.

In narrative media, authority is exercised through not only protagonists but also structure itself: which perspectives are treated as explanatory, which are treated as experiential, and whose knowledge resolves conflict. Narrative annexation becomes obvious when marginalized expertise is used to authenticate the story while interpretive closure is produced elsewhere.

From Inclusion to Containment

Existing terms such as representation, voice, and inclusion often fail to capture how expertise itself becomes a centre of containment. Homi Bhabha’s account of partial recognition and managed difference is instructive in nature. Bhabha takes the view that recognition can be accepted in ways conserving hierarchical distance. Narrative annexation extends this commentary

by formulating a specific mechanism, that is, the absorption of marginalized expertise into dominant narrative structures without transferring interpretive power.

METHODS

This study uses a qualitative and theory-oriented method founded on interpretive cultural analysis. It does not choose a single medium or corpus. Rather it applies selected cases across film, television, documentary, anime, franchise media and Western animation tactfully to show the recurrence of narrative annexation as a structural logic. Comparative exemplification is a well-known method in cultural studies. In this method, conceptual rigor comes out through transferability rather than statistical representativeness.

The goal is not to destroy differences among media forms but to reveal how different narrative economies can reproduce comparable distributions of authority. The inclusion of globally known popular media is methodologically needed because entertainment forms often perform ideological work accurately through claims to impartiality, universality or innocence.

Narrative Annexation: Definition and Analytical Indicators

Narrative annexation can be viewed as a methodological process through which marginalized or peripheral figures are positioned as containers of precious knowledge such as cultural fluency, local experience, ethical clarity or technical capability while remaining excluded from narrative sovereignty.

Across media forms, narrative annexation can be identified through these recurrent indicators:

1. **Instrumental expertise:** Marginalized knowledge helps progress plot, access, or credibility.
2. **Mediated intelligibility:** The expert's knowledge is implemented through institutional or central narrators.
3. **Asymmetrical causality:** Key decisions and turning points are fixed by dominant figures.
4. **Centralized closure:** Interpretive synthesis and moral resolution take place at the center, not with the expert.
5. **Managed recognition:** Expertise is hailed as authentic while its political undertones are fixed.

Contained expertise implies not that marginalized characters lack competence or are silent. Rather it refers to the fact that they receive acknowledgement without redistribution. The narrative uses their authority while preventing it from reorganizing the story's central logical point.

CASE STUDIES

Political Thrillers and Institutional Authority

Political thrillers tend to count on local informants and experts to validate geopolitical plots. In *Syriana* and *Zero Dark Thirty*, non-Western expertise is the gateway to cultural details, access, and tactical wisdom. But the fact remains that interpretive authority remains with Western institutional protagonists. The subaltern expert performs as a device to prove credibility rather than a performer of narrative sovereignty and echoes the critiques of security discourse and Orientalist framing.

Prestige Television and Structural Critique

Prestige television mainly foregrounds deprived communities and systemic criticism while keeping institutional centrality intact. In *The Wire*, street-level characters act as a gateway to insights into organizational atrocity and political failure, yet narrative synthesis prefers institutional analysis and macro-analysis to subaltern agency. In the same way, *Homeland*, adapted from *Prisoners of War* uses again and again Middle Eastern informants and regional knowledge advancing the plot while strengthening intelligence-state authority and interpretive closure.

Environmental Documentary and Epistemic Mediation

Environmental documentaries highlight native knowledge as a proof of ecological truth while keeping Western science and celebrity narration as epistemic anchors. In *Before the Flood* and *Our Planet*, local testimonies work as affective witnesses and moral supplements but explanation and causality are solidified through Western authority of narration. The outcome is epistemic mediation. Indigenous knowledge is authenticated but not centered as interpretive structure.

Anime and Transnational Narrative Authority

Anime is an important gateway to judging how critique and containment coexist within globally circulating media economies. In *Ghost in the Shell*, the staging of hybrid identity and post-human criticism happens through technologically enhanced bodies. Nevertheless, narrative authority remains centralized through state and military institutions. In *Attack on Titan*, marginalized populations access political truth frequently. The concern lies in the fact that decisive agency is frequently transferred to militarized structures. These texts can express dissent while keeping institutional focalization and closure intact.

Global Franchise Media and Contained Expertise: *Pokémon*

Global franchises often apply differentiated expertise at the time of centering narrative sovereignty to a central heroic framework. *Pokémon* skillfully applies transnational franchise storytelling within global media ecology. Misty, a specialist and strategic advisor, showcases practical skills and emotional intellect but narrative resolution lies in the franchise's central trajectory and heroic authority. Her skill is praised and mobilized but structurally subordinated. This phenomenon mainly exemplifies annexation within a seemingly plural ensemble.

Western Animation and Liberal Pluralism

Western animated series praised highly for progressive portrayal frequently reproduce centralized closure. *Avatar: The Last Airbender* and *Steven Universe* show ethical plurality and diverse identities. In spite of this, narrative authority centers round chosen protagonists whose moral synthesis brings about the resolution of conflict. Difference is a moral resource strengthening the central graph rather than a redistributive force reorganizing authority.

What Narrative Annexation Changes in Media Criticism

Recognizing the way of narrative annexation reshapes how representation should be judged. Analyses that place diversity with empowerment risk ignoring the structural mechanisms through which power is reproduced. The central question is not only “who appears” but “who holds the authority of retaining meaning”. Narrative annexation clarifies why good representation and ideological stabilization can exist together. Narratives may be adapted to criticism while retaining interpretive sovereignty through incorporating marginalized expertise as authenticity and ethical capital. This process can have political results since it is progressive in nature. Recognition replaces redistribution and inclusion replaces structural transformation.

CONCLUSION

This study mainly claims that representational inclusion does not impact dominant power structures inevitably. Through the concept of narrative annexation, it shows how marginalized or peripheral expertise may be used in ways that strengthen rather than redistribute authority. Through foregrounding narrative authority over representational presence, it provides an effective structure for the critical appreciation of contemporary media beyond surface-level diversity. Future research may take the advantage of this framework through close-textual analyses within particular media

systems and the use of the concept to non-Anglophone industries and reception contexts to judge its limits and level of adjustability under different regions of production and interpretation.

REFERENCES

- Allison, A. (2006). *Millennial monsters: Japanese toys and the global imagination*. University of California Press.
- Bhabha, H. K. (1994). *The location of culture*. Routledge.
- Bigelow, K. (Director). (2012). *Zero Dark Thirty* [Film]. Annapurna Pictures.
- Chatterjee, P. (2004). *The politics of the governed: Reflections on popular politics in most of the world*. Columbia University Press.
- DiMartino, M. D., & Konietzko, B. (Creators). (2005–2008). *Avatar: The Last Airbender* [TV series]. Nickelodeon.
- Foucault, M. (1980). *Power/knowledge: Selected interviews and other writings, 1972–1977* (C. Gordon, Ed.). Pantheon Books.
- Fothergill, A., & Scholey, K. (Executive producers). (2019–2023). *Our Planet* [TV documentary series]. Netflix.
- Gaghan, S. (Director). (2005). *Syriana* [Film]. Warner Bros.
- Gansa, A., & Gordon, H. (Creators). (2011–2020). *Homeland* [TV series]. Showtime.
- Guha, R. (1998). *Dominance without hegemony: History and power in colonial India*. Harvard University Press.
- Hall, S. (Ed.). (1997). *Representation: Cultural representations and signifying practices*. Sage/Open University.
- Isayama, H. (Creator). (2013–2023). *Attack on Titan* [TV anime series]. Wit Studio; MAPPA.
- Iwabuchi, K. (2002). *Recentring globalization: Popular culture and Japanese transnationalism*. Duke University Press.
- Napier, S. J. (2005). *Anime from Akira to Howl's Moving Castle: Experiencing contemporary Japanese animation*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Oshii, M. (Director). (1995). *Ghost in the Shell* [Animated film]. Production I.G.
- Raff, G. (Creator). (2009–2012). *Prisoners of War (Hatufim)* [TV series]. Keshet.
- Said, E. W. (1978). *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books.
- Simon, D. (Creator). (2002–2008). *The Wire* [TV series]. HBO.
- Spivak, G. C. (1988). Can the subaltern speak? In C. Nelson & L. Grossberg (Eds.), *Marxism and the interpretation of culture* (pp. 271–313). University of Illinois Press.

Stevens, F. (Director). (2016). *Before the Flood* [Documentary film]. National Geographic Documentary Films.

Sugar, R. (Creator). (2013–2019). *Steven Universe* [TV series]. Cartoon Network.

Tajiri, S. (Creator). (1997–present). *Pokémon* [TV anime series]. TV Tokyo; OLM, Inc.